

1 **Standardized Definition and Reporting of Vertical Resolution**
2 **and Uncertainty in the NDACC Lidar Ozone and Temperature**
3 **Algorithms.**

4
5 **Summary**

6
7 ***T. Leblanc***¹, ***R. Sica***², ***A. van Gijzel***³, ***S. Godin-Beekmann***⁴, ***A. Haefele***⁵, ***T. Trickl***
8 ***, G. Payen***⁷, ***F. Gabarrot***⁷, ***J. Bandoro***², ***and G. Liberti***⁸

9
10
11 ¹ Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Wrightwood, CA 92397, USA

12 ² The University of Western Ontario, London, Canada

13 ³ Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI), Netherlands

14 ⁴ LATMOS-IPSL, CNRS-INSU, Paris, France

15 ⁵ Meteoswiss, Payerne, Switzerland

16 ⁶ Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, IMK-IFU, Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany

17 ⁷ Observatoire des Sciences de l'Univers de La Réunion, CNRS and Université de la Réunion
18 (UMS3365), Saint Denis de la Réunion, France

19 ⁸ ISAC-CNR, Via Fosso del Cavaliere 100, I-00133, Rome, Italy

20

21

22 Motivation

23 As part of the Network for Detection of Stratospheric Change (NDACC), over 20 ground-based
24 lidar instruments are dedicated to the long-term monitoring of atmospheric composition and to
25 the validation of space-borne measurements of Earth's atmosphere from environmental satellites
26 (e.g., EOS-Aura, ENVISAT, NPP, Sentinel). In networks such as NDACC, the instruments use a
27 wide spectrum of methodologies and technologies to measure key atmospheric parameters such
28 as ozone, temperature, water vapor, etc. One ensuing caveat is the difficulty to archive
29 measurement and analysis information consistently within all research groups (or instruments).
30 Yet the need for consistent definitions has strengthened as datasets of various origin (e.g.,
31 satellite and ground-based) need increased quality control and thorough validation before they
32 can be used for long-term trend studies or be ingested together in global assimilation systems.
33 Within the NDACC Lidar Working Group, a few studies for example have shown the impact on
34 ozone of using different definitions of vertical resolution (e.g., Beyerle and McDermid, 1999;
35 Godin-Beekmann et al., 1999), or have estimated the impact of various corrections on
36 temperature (e.g., Leblanc et al., 1998), but little work was done to facilitate a standardization of
37 the definitions and approaches relating to vertical resolution and uncertainty budget.

38 In order to address such need for consistency within NDACC lidar data, several NDACC lidar
39 collaborators have joined forces through the formation in 2011 of an *International Space Science*
40 *Institute* (ISSI) International Team of Experts (<http://www.issibern.ch/aboutissi/mission.html>).
41 The objective of this working group (henceforth "ISSI Team") was to provide scientifically
42 meaningful recommendations for the use of standardized definitions of vertical resolution and
43 standardized definitions and approaches for the treatment of uncertainty in the NDACC ozone
44 and temperature lidar retrievals. Ultimately, the recommendations were designed so that they can
45 be implemented consistently by all NDACC ozone and temperature lidar investigators.

46 The ISSI Team Report comprises two distinct parts. Part 1 is exclusively dedicated to vertical
47 resolution while Part 2 is exclusively dedicated to uncertainties. The treatment of uncertainty is
48 significantly more complex than that of vertical resolution. As a result, Part 2 is significantly
49 longer than Part 1. It is organized in six "chapters" and complemented by ten appendices, while
50 Part 1 comprises only four sections. Though the focus is on the retrieval of ozone by the
51 differential absorption technique and temperature by the density integration technique, many
52 concepts described in the report can be applied to the retrieval of other NDACC species such as
53 water vapor (Raman and differential absorption techniques), temperature (rotational Raman
54 technique), and aerosol backscatter ratio. Supplements to the present report on these topics are
55 expected in the coming years. The present summary outlines the main results detailed in Part 1
56 and Part 2, and briefly reviews how the ISSI Team recommendations may be implemented
57 within NDACC in the upcoming months or years.
58

60 **1 Standardized definitions of vertical resolution**

61 Generally speaking, vertical resolution, as provided by the lidar investigators in the archived
 62 NDACC data files, is an indicator of the amount of vertical filtering applied to the lidar signals
 63 or to the species profiles. This filtering is applied in order to reduce high frequency noise
 64 typically produced at the signal detection level. Because the signal-to-noise ratio varies with
 65 altitude, the amount of applied filtering usually depends on altitude, with more filtering being
 66 applied at higher altitudes. Over the years, NDACC lidar PIs have been providing temperature
 67 and ozone profiles using a wide range of vertical resolution schemes and values. The definition
 68 of vertical resolution used appears to differ significantly. To address this issue, the ISSI Team
 69 reviewed the various vertical resolution schemes and definitions in use by the NDACC PIs, and
 70 agreed on the recommendation of two standardized definitions for use in future NDACC-
 71 archived data.

72

73 **1.1 Definition based on the FWHM of a finite impulse response**

74 Whether we consider the lidar signal or the retrieved species, vertical filtering at a specific
 75 altitude consists of a linear combination of multiple samples at the neighboring altitudes. The
 76 coefficients of the filter used in the smoothing operation are chosen by the lidar investigator, and
 77 constitute the key information for the derivation of a standardized definition of vertical
 78 resolution. The first ISSI Team “standardized” definition recommended for use in the NDACC
 79 ozone and temperature lidar algorithms is based on the width of the response to a Finite Impulse-
 80 type perturbation. The response is computed by convolving the filter coefficients with an impulse
 81 function, namely, a Kronecker Delta function for smoothing filters, and a Heaviside Step
 82 function for derivative filters. Once the response has been computed, the standardized definition
 83 of vertical resolution proposed by the ISSI Team is given by $\Delta z = \delta z * H_{FWHM}$, where δz is the
 84 lidar’s sampling resolution and H_{FWHM} is the full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the
 85 response, measured in sampling intervals. Following this definition, an unsmoothed signal yields
 86 the best possible vertical resolution $\Delta z = \delta z$ (one sampling bin).

87 This definition was recommended by the ISSI Team because it is already widely used within the
 88 NDACC community, and it has many points of commonality with the averaging kernels reported
 89 for the retrieval of atmospheric species using passive techniques and optimal estimation
 90 methods. This definition also allows multiple smoothing occurrences to be treated analytically in
 91 a simple and exact manner (see paragraph 1.3).

92

93 **1.2 Definition based on the cut-off frequency of a digital filter**

94 The other recommended definition relates to digital filtering theory (Hamming, 1977). After
 95 applying a Laplace Transform to a set of filter coefficients, we can derive the filter’s transfer
 96 function and gain, which characterize the effect of the filter on the signal in the frequency-
 97 domain. A gain value close to 1.0 at a given frequency means that the smoothing has no or very
 98 little effect at this particular frequency, while a value close to 0.0 means that this frequency
 99 component was fully suppressed by the smoothing process. A cut-off frequency value can be
 100 defined as the frequency at which the gain equals 0.5. Perturbations of this frequency see their

101 magnitude divided by 2 after smoothing. Vertical resolution can then be defined by the relation
102 $\Delta z = \delta z / (2f_C)$, where f_C is the cut-off frequency. Unlike common practice in the field of spectral
103 analysis, a factor $2f_C$ instead of f_C is indeed proposed here because it yields values of vertical
104 resolution that are conveniently equal, or close to the values obtained using the impulse response
105 definition described in the previous paragraph. The present definition therefore yields vertical
106 resolution values expressed as multiples of sampling intervals rather than multiples of Nyquist
107 intervals, and an unsmoothed signal yields the best possible vertical resolution $\Delta z = \delta z$ (one
108 sampling interval), corresponding in the frequency domain, to twice the Nyquist frequency.

109 One advantage of a definition based on cutoff frequency is that the computed values reflect the
110 actual impact of filtering on geophysical perturbations independently of the type of filter used.
111 Like in the impulse response case, the values of vertical resolution computed for multiple,
112 successive smoothing operations is conceptually, theoretically and numerically exact (see next
113 paragraph). In the case of the differential absorption lidar technique, the process of smoothing
114 and the process of differentiating the ratio of the signals collected at the absorbed and non-
115 absorbed wavelengths are often combined in the same filtering operation. For the resulting
116 derivative filters, the application of the digital filter theory is similar to that of smoothing filters.

117

118 **1.3 Practical implementation to NDACC lidars**

119 The ISSI-Team developed numerical tools to support the implementation of this definition across
120 the NDACC lidar groups. These tools consist of ready-to-use “plug-in” routines written in IDL,
121 FORTRAN and MATLAB that can be inserted into the NDACC lidar PIs’ data processing
122 software each time a smoothing operation occurs in their data processing chain. The routine’s
123 input parameters are the coefficients of the smoothing filter applied to the lidar signal or to the
124 temperature or ozone profile, and the output parameter is the vertical resolution following the
125 impulse response-based standardized definition or the cut-off frequency-based standardized
126 definition. The values output by the routines can then be reported in the NDACC lidar data files
127 together with the ozone or temperature profiles.

128 In the impulse response definition case, the plug-in routine not only outputs the vertical
129 resolution, but also the response itself over the full sampling interval considered. When multiple
130 smoothing operations occur within the same data processing chain, the plug-in routine is called
131 each time smoothing occurs, and the impulse response output by the routine during the previous
132 smoothing occurrence is an input parameter of the routine called for the new smoothing
133 occurrence, replacing the impulse function initially used. The new output response then takes
134 into account both smoothing operations, ensuring that the final values of standardized vertical
135 resolution are theoretically and numerically exact.

136 In the digital filter definition case, the plug-in routine not only outputs the vertical resolution, but
137 also the gain of the filter over the entire spectrum of the frequency domain. When multiple
138 smoothing operations occur within the same data processing chain, the plug-in routine is again
139 called each time smoothing occurs, and the gain output by the routine during the previous
140 smoothing occurrence is multiplied by the gain computed by the routine for the new smoothing
141 occurrence. The product is a new gain that takes into account both smoothing operations, and
142 from which the cut-off frequency is ultimately extracted. This way, the values of standardized
143 vertical resolution output by the plug-in routine are once again conceptually, theoretically and
144 numerically exact.

145

146 **2 Standardized ozone and temperature uncertainty budget**

147 The second objective of the ISSI Team was to recommend standardized definitions and
148 approaches for the treatment of uncertainty in the NDACC lidar ozone and temperature lidar
149 retrievals. Again, the recommendations were designed so that they can be implemented
150 consistently by all NDACC ozone and temperature lidar investigators. The treatment of
151 uncertainty in the ozone and temperature lidar retrievals depends on the choice of the theoretical
152 equations used as well as their implementation to the real world, i.e., after considering all the
153 caveats associated with the design, setup, and operation of an actual lidar instrument. There is
154 therefore no unique answer or solution, but the ISSI Team made specific efforts to produce a set
155 of actionable recommendations and suggest generic approaches that can be adapted to all cases.

156

157 **2.1 Standardized definition of uncertainty**

158 The definition of uncertainty recommended to be used for all NDACC lidar measurements is
159 *combined standard uncertainty*. It originates in the two internationally recognized reference
160 documents endorsed by the Bureau des Poids et Mesures (BIPM), namely the International
161 Vocabulary of Basic and General Terms in Metrology (commonly abbreviated “VIM”) (JCGM
162 200, 2012), and the Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (commonly
163 abbreviated “GUM”) (JCGM 100: 2008). These two documents and their supplements provide a
164 complete framework to the treatment of uncertainty. The particular case of “standard
165 uncertainty” is defined in the VIM as “*the measurement uncertainty expressed as a standard
166 deviation*”.

167

168 **2.2 Standardized approach for the introduction and propagation of uncertainty**

169 The same theoretical equation, namely the *lidar equation* (e.g., Hinkley, 1976), is used to
170 retrieve an ozone number density profile in the troposphere or stratosphere using the DIAL
171 technique (e.g., Mégie et al., 1977), and a temperature profile in the stratosphere and mesosphere
172 using the density integration technique (e.g., Hauchecorne and Chanin, 1980). The parameters
173 impacting the retrievals relate to the propagation and backscattering of the laser light emitted by
174 the lidar, and therefore include a number of atmospheric species and their scattering and/or
175 absorption properties. Furthermore the lidar equation relates to the number of photons collected
176 on the lidar detectors rather than the raw lidar signals recorded in the data files. Therefore,
177 several signal correction procedures and numerical transformations related to the instrumentation
178 must be included as well. The effects of the data recorders, namely the sky and electronic
179 background noise and the signal saturation (pile-up effect) must be taken into account.

180 One important recommendation by the ISSI team is to propagate all the individual, independent
181 uncertainty components in parallel through the data processing chain. It is only after the final
182 signal transformation is applied (i.e., leading to the actual values of ozone number density or
183 temperature) that the individual uncertainty components are combined together to form the
184 *combined standard uncertainty*, the primary and mandatory variable of the new NDACC-lidar-
185 standardized ozone and temperature uncertainty budget. The expression of the individual
186 uncertainty components and their step-by-step propagation through the ozone and temperature

187 data processing chains was thoroughly estimated by the ISSI Team. The validity of the approach
188 and correctness of the recommended expressions were quantitatively verified using simulated
189 lidar signals and Monte Carlo experiments. The complete uncertainty propagation expressions
190 and the validation experiments are detailed in the report.

191 2.2.1 Ozone DIAL retrieval

192 For the ozone DIAL retrieval, the independent sources of uncertainty identified by the ISSI
193 Team are:

- 194 1) Random noise associated with signal detection
- 195 2) Uncertainty due to saturation correction (photon-counting mode only)
- 196 3) Uncertainty due to background noise extraction
- 197 4) Uncertainty due to channel merging procedure
- 198 5) Uncertainty due to the *a priori* use of ozone cross-sections
- 199 6) Uncertainty due to the *a priori* use of Rayleigh cross-sections
- 200 7) Uncertainty due to the *a priori* use of air number density (or temperature and pressure)
- 201 8) Uncertainty due to the *a priori* use of NO₂ absorption cross-sections
- 202 9) Uncertainty due to the *a priori* use of NO₂ number density (or mixing ratio)
- 203 10) Uncertainty due to the *a priori* use of SO₂ absorption cross-sections (UV only)
- 204 11) Uncertainty due to the *a priori* use of SO₂ number density (or mixing ratio)
- 205 12) Uncertainty due to the *a priori* use of O₂ absorption cross-sections (at shorter UV
206 wavelengths)

207 The term *a priori* here does not mean that the ozone DIAL retrieval uses a variational/optimal
208 estimation method (it does not), but simply means that the information comes from ancillary
209 (i.e., non-lidar) measurements, and is input as “truth” in the data processing chain for use in the
210 various lidar signal corrections needed. Not all of the above sources are necessarily needed,
211 depending on the instrument configuration. All the above sources except detection noise imply
212 correlated terms in the vertical dimension, which means that covariance terms must be taken into
213 account when vertical filtering is applied. In addition, if the same detection hardware is shared
214 by two channels, the covariance terms must be taken into account when dependent channels are
215 combined (e.g., signal merging or signal ratio). When computing the ozone cross-section
216 differentials and the interfering gases’ cross-section differentials, the covariance terms should
217 also be taken into account if the same ancillary datasets are used for the “ON” and “OFF”
218 wavelengths.

219 2.2.2 Temperature retrieval

220 For the temperature retrieval, the independent sources of uncertainty identified by the ISSI Team
221 are:

- 222 1) Random noise associated with signal detection

- 223 2) Uncertainty due to saturation correction (photon-counting mode only)
- 224 3) Uncertainty due to background noise extraction
- 225 4) Uncertainty due to channel merging procedure
- 226 5) Uncertainty due to the *a priori* use of ozone cross-sections
- 227 6) Uncertainty due to the *a priori* use of ozone number density (or mixing ratio)
- 228 7) Uncertainty due to the *a priori* use of Rayleigh cross-sections
- 229 8) Uncertainty due to the *a priori* use of air number density (or temperature and pressure)
- 230 9) Uncertainty due to the *a priori* use of NO₂ absorption cross-sections
- 231 10) Uncertainty due to the *a priori* use of NO₂ number density (or mixing ratio)
- 232 11) Uncertainty due to the *a priori* use of temperature tie-on at the top of the profile
- 233 12) Uncertainty due to the *a priori* use of acceleration of gravity
- 234 13) Uncertainty due to the *a priori* use of molecular mass of air

235 Again the term *a priori* here simply means that the information comes from ancillary
236 measurements, and is input as “truth” in the data processing chain for use in the signal
237 corrections. Just like for ozone, not all of the above sources are necessarily needed, depending on
238 the instrument configuration.

239

240 **2.3 Reporting uncertainty in the NDACC data and meta-data files**

241 As part of the ISSI team recommendations, every identified source of uncertainty should be
242 reported in the NDACC-archived metadata file. Though not mandatory, providing quantitative
243 information on the ancillary datasets used for signal corrections is highly recommended. The best
244 estimate of the ozone (or temperature) combined standard uncertainty must be reported in the
245 NDACC-archived lidar data files, whether or not the NDACC-standardized uncertainty budget
246 approach recommended by the ISSI Team is used. The ISSI Team also recommends reporting,
247 whenever possible, the individual standard uncertainty components that contribute to the
248 reported ozone or temperature combined standard uncertainty.

249 Typical NDACC ozone and temperature lidar profiles are given as a function of altitude and for
250 an averaging time period ranging between a few minutes and several hours. The ISSI Team
251 recommends that information on individual uncertainty components should include the
252 uncertainty source’s expected degree of correlation in both the altitude and time dimensions. The
253 ISSI Team formulated basic recommendations on how to use the reported information when
254 using a large set of profiles from the same lidar instrument (for example to produce an ozone or
255 temperature climatology). Each reported individual uncertainty component must be first
256 computed separately using the provided degree of correlation in altitude and time, and then
257 combined. For example, uncertainty owed to detection noise should be computed using the
258 quadratic sum of each individual profile’s detection uncertainty, while the uncertainty owed to
259 the saturation correction can be combined using a simple sum of the individual profiles’
260 saturation correction uncertainty if the same correction procedure was used for all individual
261 profiles.

262

263 **3 Other aspects of the lidar ozone and temperature algorithms**
264 **investigated**

265 The ISSI Team reviewed several aspects of lidar data processing impacting the retrieved ozone
266 and temperature profiles. The results detailed in the report include.

267 1) Recommendations on how to handle specific uncertainty sources and corrections

268 2) Recommendations on how to handle uncertainty associated with fundamental physical
269 constants

270 3) A non-exhaustive list of ancillary datasets currently available (e.g., climatologies such as
271 WACCM and GOZCARDS, satellite and balloon-borne measurements, and assimilation models)
272 and their uncertainty

273 4) A comparison of the newest absorption cross-section datasets available (e.g., Univ. of Bremen
274 spectroscopy data for ozone) with older datasets already in use by the atmospheric science
275 community

276 5) A brief review of the Rayleigh cross-section formulas

277 6) Recommendations on how to handle uncertainty owed to co-location.

278 Uncertainty components due to particulate extinction and backscatter were not investigated by
279 the ISSI Team. These terms are very small in a “clean” atmosphere, which is mostly true above
280 35 km and in most cases of tropospheric ozone DIAL measurements with a small wavelength
281 differential. When present and non-negligible (for example after a large volcanic eruption), their
282 contribution is highly variable from site to site, time to time, and highly dependent on the nature
283 and quantity of the particulate matter at the time of measurement, which precludes the ISSI-
284 Team from providing standardized expressions. However, the ISSI team is very aware that these
285 terms certainly deserve full attention, and is urging for the formation of another Team of expert
286 specifically dedicated to this topic. Finally, because every lidar instrument is unique, not all
287 sources of uncertainty could be investigated by the ISSI-Team. For sources not treated in the
288 ISSI team Report, the ISSI team recommends that the NDACC lidar investigators use the same
289 generic approach as that proposed by the ISSI Team, and simply add those unidentified
290 components to the uncertainty budget following the same definitions, methodologies, and
291 propagation principles.

292

293 **4 Validation of the proposed approaches, definitions and**
294 **expressions**

295 The values of vertical resolution following the proper standardized definitions were validated by
296 the ISSI-Team using Monte-Carlo experiments for several NDACC ozone and temperature data
297 processing softwares. The experiments consisted of 1) producing simulated lidar signals
298 containing noise of frequencies covering the whole spectrum, 2) analyzing the simulated signals
299 to retrieve temperature or ozone, and 3) comparing the retrieved profiles with the original
300 profiles used to simulate the lidar signals. Besides validating the proper computation of
301 standardized vertical resolution, these experiments show that the computed NDACC-

302 standardized vertical resolution does not necessarily correspond to the width of the filtering
303 window.

304 Because of the complexity of the ozone and temperature lidar uncertainty budgets, it is not
305 possible to provide plug-in routines for uncertainty similar to those provided for vertical
306 resolution. However, the approach, definitions, and propagation expressions are fully detailed in
307 the report and can be used by the PIs as needed. Again, the approach and formulations were
308 quantitatively verified using Monte-Carlo experiments involving simulated lidar signals. In this
309 case the purpose of the experiments was to propagate normally distributed perturbations of the
310 input parameters contributing to the ozone or temperature uncertainty budget, and verify that the
311 data processing algorithms compute values of ozone or temperature standard uncertainty that are
312 equal to the ozone or temperature standard deviation obtained from the set of perturbed signals.
313 The results of these experiments are fully detailed in the report.

314

315 **5 Extension of the ISSI Team work to the water vapor and aerosol** 316 **lidars**

317 The recommendations and approaches proposed by the ISSI Team for the NDACC ozone and
318 temperature lidars can be largely extended to the NDACC water vapor and aerosol lidars. In
319 particular, the recommendations and approaches pertaining to the lidar signal processing
320 common to both ozone and temperature (i.e., background extraction, saturation correction,
321 smoothing and merging at the signal level or at the species level, and the standardization of
322 vertical resolution), can easily be implemented in a similar manner for the backscatter ratio and
323 water vapor profiles. Uncertainty components that are specific to the retrieval of aerosol
324 properties or water vapor profiles (e.g., calibration) can be further investigated following a
325 philosophy and approach that are similar to those described in the ISSI Team Report.

326

327 **Acknowledgements**

328 This work was initiated in response to the 2010 Call for International Teams of Experts in Earth
329 and Space Science by the International Space Science Institute (ISSI) in Bern, Switzerland. It
330 could not have been performed without the travel and logistical support of ISSI. Part of the work
331 described in this paper was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of
332 Technology, under agreements with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Part of
333 this work was carried out in support of the VALID Project. RJS would like to acknowledge the
334 support of the Canadian National Sciences and Engineering Research Council for support of The
335 University of Western Ontario lidar work.

336

337

338 **References**

339

340 Beyerle, G., and McDermid, I. S.: Altitude Range Resolution of Differential Absorption Lidar
341 Ozone Profiles, *Appl. Opt.*, 38, 924-927, 1999

342 Godin, S., Carswell, A. I., Donovan, D. P., Claude, H., Steinbrecht, W., McDermid, I. S.,
343 McGee, T. J., Gross, M. R., Nakane, H., Swart, D. P. J., Bergwerff, H. B., Uchino, O., von
344 der Gathen, P., and Neuber, R.: Ozone Differential Absorption Lidar Algorithm
345 Intercomparison, *Appl. Opt.*, 38, 6225-6236, 1999

346 Leblanc, T., McDermid, I. S., Hauchecorne, A., and Keckhut, P.: Evaluation of optimization of
347 lidar temperature analysis algorithms using simulated data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 6177-
348 6187, 1998.

349 Hamming, R. W.: *Digital Filters*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 1977

350 JCGM: Evaluation of measurement data – Guide to the expression of uncertainty in
351 measurement (GUM), Tech. Rep. JCGM 100: 2008, International Bureau of Weights and
352 Measures (BIPM), 2008.

353 JCGM: International Vocabulary of Metrology – Basic and General Concepts and Associated
354 Terms (VIM3), Tech. Rep. JCGM 200: 2012, International Bureau of Weights and Measures
355 (BIPM), 2012.

356 Hinkley, E. D.: *Laser monitoring of the atmosphere*, Topics in applied physics, 14, Springer-
357 Verlag, 380 pp., 1976.

358 Mégie, G., Allain, J. Y., Chanin, M. L., and Blamont, J. E.: Vertical Profile of Stratospheric
359 Ozone by Lidar Sounding from Ground, *Nature*, **270**, 329-331, 1977

360 Hauchecorne, A., and Chanin, M. L.: Density and temperature profiles obtained by lidar between
361 35-km and 70-km, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **7**, 565-568, 1980

362