# The multi-thermal and multi-stranded nature of coronal rain

Patrick Antolin<sup>1</sup>

G. Vissers<sup>2</sup>, L. Rouppe van der Voort<sup>2</sup>, T. Pereira<sup>2</sup>, E. Scullion<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>:NAOJ, <sup>2</sup>: ITA (Norway), <sup>3</sup>:TCD (Ireland)

Submitted to ApJ





# Multi-wavelength observations



Instrument & Wavelengths	Dataset	Spatial (& spectral) resolution	Cadence [sec]	Formation temperature [log K]
SST/CRISP Ha	1	0.14" (0.085 Å)	9	3.3-4.2
Hinode/SOT Ca II H	2	0.2"	4.8	4-4.2
IRIS/SJI Mg II 2796 Å	2	0.4"	36.5	4-4.2
IRIS/SJI C II 1330 Å	2	0.33"	36.5	4.3
IRIS/SJI Si IV 1400 Å	2	0.33"	36.5	4.8
SDO/AIA He II 304 Å	1 & 2	1.2"	12	5
SDO/AIA Fe IX 171 Å	1 & 2	1.2"	12	5.9
SDO/AIA Fe XII 193 Å	1	1.2"	12	6.2

Dataset 1: 26/06/2010, 10:03-11:40 UT, centred on AR 11084 at [-875",-319"]
Dataset 2: 29/11/2013, 22:30-23:30 UT, centred on AR 11903 at [944",264"]

## **Progressive cooling**

![](_page_2_Figure_1.jpeg)

Progressive cooling from coronal to chromospheric temperatures is observed. Thermal instability is at the origin of the intensity variability in these loops

### **Progressive cooling**

![](_page_3_Figure_1.jpeg)

### EUV variation associated with Hα rain emission

![](_page_4_Figure_1.jpeg)

Hα rain is clumpy and surrounded by wider and continuous EUV absorption features.

> Large clumps and showers produce EUV variability: can lead to a quasiperiodic intensity variation

## Clumpy vs. continuous

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Cooling through TR lines**

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

# A multi-temperature phenomenon

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

- \* Strong co-spatial emission in TR and chromospheric lines
- Differences in structure appear at the smallest scales: chromospheric to TR temperature transition must exist at scales below Iris resolution (0.33")
- Strand-like structure extends to TR range

### Multi-stranded structure

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

6-8 strands are visible in the projected plane of the sky, extending from coronal to chromospheric heights

Multiple ripples next to large clumps: highly reminiscent of the MHD thermal mode (Van der Linden & Goossens 1991)

### Multi-stranded structure: tip of the iceberg?

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

Significant difference in clump widths in AIA from TR to coronal temperatures (~0.5") width of PCTR?

Shape of width distribution is independent of temperature: sharp peak + long tail. Strong increase in clump numbers at lower temperatures but especially at higher resolution
Tip of the iceberg scenario?

 Lengths distribution is more random: reflects other factors at play (longitudinal)

EUV intensity variations as a signature of catastrophic cooling)

- \* Thermal instability is the most general state of the plasma
  - Viall & Klimchuk 2012: most loops are in a cooling state.
  - Coronal loops in active regions often present intensity variations (out of hydrostatic equilibrium, Aschwanden et al. 2001; Reale 2010).
  - →Which fraction is in a state of thermal non-equilibrium?
- Is thermal instability generally complete? Does the instability often lead to catastrophic cooling (down to chromospheric temperatures)? Mikiç et al. (2013)
- \* Are common EUV intensity variations in AR loops a signature of thermal instability?

This study:

- Common EUV intensity variation in cooling loops are strongly correlated to coronal rain appearance (large clumps and showers) in TR and chromospheric lines (continuum absorption from H, He and He+: Heinzel & Anzer 2005, Labrosse+ 2010)
- Intensity variation can appear quasi-periodic

The problem of persistent red-shifts above sunspots

- \* Persistent red-shifts above sunspots, often associated to bright fan-shaped structures observed in EUV above the umbra, usually termed plumes (Brekke + 1990; Brueckner 1981; Dere 1982; Kjeldseth-Moe+ 1988, Foukal+ 1974; Brosius & White 2004; Brosius 2005...)
- \* Large range of downflow speeds: subsonic & supersonic, in both chromospheric and TR lines (Kleint+ 2014)
- Interpreted either as siphon flows or condensation flows (Reale+ 1996,1997, Mok+ 2008)
- Clumpy nature of coronal rain posed a problem of interpretation

![](_page_11_Figure_6.jpeg)

This study:

- Clumpy and sporadic character at coronal heights becomes persistent and continuous at low heights: offers explanation to this long standing problem
- \* Change of character is partly due to progressive cooling of the rain, but mostly to a funnel effect from the observed expansion of the magnetic field at low heights: From heights F to C (26") the field expands by a factor of 2.

#### Global magnetic field tracer

- \* Full velocity vector from spectroscopic observations allows to infer the angle of fall of rain clumps with respect to the loop vertical (Antolin & Rouppe van der Voort 2012)
   DOS projection effect can be eliminated to some extent
- Interesting applications as global magnetic field tracers
- Sess+ (2013): existence of a kink in the field above sunspot umbra leading to a characteristic change with height of the dominant periods of running penumbral waves from the photosphere into the chromosphere

#### This study:

- \* Very long and thin rain clumps (>20 Mm long)
- \* Existence of a kink in the field, above which main expansion is observed

![](_page_12_Figure_8.jpeg)

arcsec

#### Multi-thermal character)

- \* Progressive cooling: difference in emission with height?
- Fast-slow two-step cooling: transition to optically thick states?
- \* Loop stays bright in AIA 171. Usual sequential EUV intensity from hot to cool is not observed

![](_page_13_Figure_5.jpeg)

- ➡Not a necessary observational condition of thermally unstable loops
- \* High degree of co-spatiality in multi-wavelength emission (chromospheric and TR): large density inhomogeneity within thermally unstable loops. Thin transition from chromospheric to TR temperatures: < 0.33". PCTR ~ 0.5"</p>
- \* Thermal instability in low β plasmas is far more complex than the simple picture of a uniformly progressive cooling plasma with cool chromospheric cores surrounded by warmer diffuse material.
- # How? Existence of tangential discontinuities in the field in which material can collapse (Low+ 2012a,b)

(Elemental scales (1))

- \* Average standard deviation and widths (and the ratio) decreases at increasing resolution and decreasing temperature (AIA->SJI->SOT->CRISP)
- \* Number of clumps increases at higher resolution and decreasing temperature
- Bulk of the distribution undetected? (< 0.2", also Scullion+ 2014): agreement with numerical simulations (Fang+ 2013)
- \* Lengths: much larger variable range. But generally clumpy at low temperatures. Big difference with prominences? Longitudinal effects: conduction, flows, instabilities...
- \* Agreement over several datasets (Antolin & Rouppe van der Voort 2012, Antolin+2012, Scullion+2014, Harra+2014). Similarity with widths of prominence threads.

Existence of elemental strand-like structures? Does thermal instability play a main role in the morphology, especially defining the widths? Is such substructure also expected in thermally stable loop? What is the influence of temperature?

![](_page_14_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_9.jpeg)

insignificant width change, same resolution, drastic temperature change

### **Discussion - conclusions** $_{\bar{x}}$

(Elemental scales (2)

- Main role: resolution or temperature?
- ➡ Spatial resolution
- Strand-like structure extends into TR range
- Still, thermal instability can play a major role in defining the morphology How?
- MHD thermal mode (Field 1965, Van der Linden & Goossens 1991): small but non-zero perpendicular thermal conduction. Static MHD wave would move with the flow. Introduces density enhancements around clumps: further condensations in neighbouring loops?
- \* Other effect: large gas pressure variation across clumps introduces non-local enhancement of azimuthal magnetic field. Can affect plasma up- and downstream

![](_page_15_Figure_9.jpeg)

significant width change, significant improvement in resolution, no drastic temperature change

![](_page_15_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_12.jpeg)

Elemental scales (3)

- \* Role of thermal instability in loop substructure. How?
- \* Other effect: large gas pressure variation across clumps introduces non-local enhancement of azimuthal magnetic field (~Bennett pinch effect). Can affect plasma up- and downstream due to flux freezing and high magnetic field tension.

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

- May imply a relation between clumps widths and the magnetic field at small scales: interesting MHD seismology applications
- Can it explain glumpy structure in longitudinal direction?
- \* Can it explain the lower downfall velocities? (higher magnetic pressure up-and downstream).

#### Densities

- Large clumps and showers produce detectable EUV darkening: we can estimate densities from continuum absorption (Landi & Reale 2013)
  - AIA: log T<sub>abs</sub> ~ 4.4-4.6 for EUV width of 700 km
    ⇒ n<sub>e</sub> ~1.8-7.1×10<sup>10</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>.
    SST: T ~ 5500 K and a width of 400 km
    ⇒ strong inhomogeneity. If constant pressure inside clump then core densities ~ 2.5 × 10<sup>11</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

\* Problem of low downward speeds:

v<sub>obs</sub>~100 km/s. Assume <geff>=0.174 km s<sup>-2</sup> (Antolin & Verwichte 2011) -> v<sub>final</sub>~150 km/s.

Bernouilli equation: increase of magnetic pressure downstream of 2.8-3.6 G would suffice to decelerate clump for downflows with average density  $3-5\times10^9$  cm<sup>-3</sup> and pressures 0.3 – 0.5 dyn cm<sup>-2</sup>: consistent with estimated gas pressure from clump

Role in chromosphere-corona mass cycle

Dataset 1: <density>= 1.4×10<sup>11</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>, <width>=0.4", <length>=3.8"
<downward mass flux per loop> = 1.23 × 10<sup>9</sup> g s<sup>-1</sup>

Dataset 2: <mass flux> =  $5.22 \times 10^9$  g s<sup>-1</sup>

- \* Agreement with Antolin & Rouppe van der Voort (2012), similar for prominences (Liu+ 2012) and comparable to estimated upload from spicules (Beckers 1972) -> important role in chromosphere-corona mass cycle
- Tip-of-the-iceberg scenario?

Assuming that most of the rain is detected: <density in loop> =  $6.2-7.3 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-3</sup>

-> 5 times lower than expected. Where's the rest?

![](_page_18_Figure_8.jpeg)

### Thank you!

# Conclusions

- Multi-temperature phenomenon: chromospheric & TR emission. Short time lags observed between TR and chromospheric lines (catastrophic cooling)
- Multi-strand nature: Significant increase of number at higher resolution. Tip of the iceberg scenario?
- Effect of temperature or resolution? Widths: 0.2"-0.3"(<10<sup>4</sup> K), 0.6"-0.7"(10<sup>4-5</sup> K), local effect on magnetic field? Strand-like structure in coronal lines?
- Possible influence of thermal mode at smallest scales.
- Less uniformity for lengths: many other agents at play (flows, thermal conduction, waves...)
- Mostly single emission peaks: optically thin?
- Non-thermal broadening < 10 km/s, small tail up to 25 km/s. Prominence-like material: extra component ~ 30-40 km/s. No height dependence. Slightly lower to previous results with coarser instruments. LOS effects?
- k/h ratio: 1.2 (prominence), 1.2-1.6 (coronal rain): moderately optically thick? Height dependent. Probably due to pressure changes within loop: probe of internal loop conditions. Turbulence?