Analysis of oscillations and kinematics of the coronal rain observed with IRIS

Petra Kohutova



23 February, 2015

Implications for coronal heating and magnetic fields from coronal rain observations and modelling

ISSI Meeting, 23 - 27 February 2015, Bern

Observations and Data processing

Hinode-IRIS-SST coordination (HOP 262), August 2014 observing campaign \Rightarrow SST, EIS, SOT data also available



Focus on AR 12151 \Rightarrow event from the 25/08/2014:

Duration: 7:46 - 10:30 OBSID: 3820009453 Large sit-and-stare Level 2 SJI in Si IV line (1400 Å) Cadence: 19 s

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Observations and Data processing

- Focus on coronal loop in the foreground visible during most of the sequence, coronal rain in the second third
- Visible flows most of upward flow occurs in the remote leg, rain mostly in the nearby leg
- Asymmetry in the rain flow direction arising when superimposed siphon flow and coronal rain
- Other loops intersecting the axis avoid contamination

Observation limited to single vantage point \Rightarrow account for projection effects

Loop geometry estimates: Loop radius: 41 Mm Loop plane - LOS angle: 9°



900

Observations and Data processing

Pre-processing:

- Level 2 data basic wavelength calibration; dark, flat field already subtracted
- Reduce noise by using Mexican Hat wavelet filtering
- Set up 10 regularly spaced slits perpendicular to the loop axis to trace oscillations at different positions along the loop
- Stack data cuts along the slit at each time step to extract time-distance plots
- Data in each slit superimposed over 30 pixels in longitudinal direction to detect oscillations of small blobs



・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

Transverse Oscillations

- Multiple transverse oscillations visible along the whole loop length
- 150 oscillations observed in total
- Obtain detrended displacement time series for each oscillation
- Fit the time series with function $\xi(t) = \xi_0 sin(\omega t + \Phi)$ to determine oscillation parameters



Transverse Oscillations

- Multiple transverse oscillations visible along the whole loop length
- 150 oscillations observed in total
- Obtain detrended displacement time series for each oscillation
- Fit the time series with function $\xi(t) = \sin(\omega t + \Phi)$ to determine oscillation parameters



Transverse Oscillations

- No clear trend in amplitude as a function of distance along the loop complicates harmonic determination (large uncertainties due to strand thickness)
- Clear in-phase oscillation patterns for groups of condensations
- Two populations: small scale and large scale oscillations
- Estimated expected phase gradients for given period of oscillation if travelling wave
- Large scale oscillations phase shift too small to detect for given duration of observed data set
- Small scale oscillations no such trends show in the phase-distance plot \Rightarrow standing wave



-

Two different regimes of transverse oscillations:

Small scale oscillations traced by coronal rain observed previously (Antolin & Verwichte, 2011):

- Average period: 3.4 min
- Amplitudes from 0.1 Mm (\sim resolution limit) to 0.6 Mm, decreasing near the footpoints
- · Characteristics similar to those reported in previously published work
- Observed along the whole loop length
- Most prominent near the loop apex
- No significant damping over the duration of the observation
- No phase shift observed standing wave, in-phase oscillations of nearby strands kink mode (monolithic waveguide or multiple strands) or torsional Alfvén mode scenario?
- Possible Sources:
 - No events in AR12151 on the day of observation, C class flare in AR 12150, series of C class flares in AR 12149, too far to have significant effect? Also event-excited oscillations undergo rapid damping, which is not observed in this case?
 - Decay-less low amplitude oscillations loop response to continuously operating non-resonant driver (Nisticò et al. 2013)
 - Interactions with neighbouring loops?
 - Condensations themselves triggering the oscillations?

Two different regimes of transverse oscillations:

Large scale oscillations:

- Average period: 24 min
- Amplitudes around 1 Mm
- Traced by upflowing material in remote loop leg
- Most pronounced near the footpoint and fading higher along the loop
- Most likely caused by a different (transient) mechanism operating near the footpoints

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Kinematics

- Tracked 18 blob paths in longitudinal direction
- Total of 115 plasma blobs were tracked, 18 blobs in upward flows, 97 condensations
- Extract individual blob paths from each timeslice
- Get time-distance plots (spatial dimension = projected longitudinal distance from the loop apex), account for projection effects
- Determine velocity at the beginning and end of path of each blob, deduce acceleration



・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Kinematics

Focus on falling condensations:



Broad distribution of velocities, individual velocity and acceleration profiles \Rightarrow both acceleration and deceleration processes

Average velocity: 44.91 km.s⁻¹ Average acceleration: 94.96 m.s⁻² $\langle g_{eff} \rangle = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} g_{\odot} \cos\theta(s) ds$ Average effective gravity along the loop: $\langle g_{eff} \rangle = 174 m.s^{-2}$

 \Rightarrow observed values significantly lower - sub-ballistic fall rates previously reported (eg. De Groof et al. 2004, Antolin & Verwichte 2011, Antolin & Rouppe van der Voort 2012)

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

ъ

Kinematics

Focus on falling condensations:



Possible sources of deceleration:

- Gas pressure gradients?
- Helical magnetic fields (doesn't seem so from the observations if assuming rain to be a good tracer, also stability issues)
- Interaction with hotter and denser transition region plasma/shocks (but deviation from free fall speed observed along whole loop length?)

(日)、

э

• Can be explained by the presence of oscillations - ponderomotive force (most likely)

Conclusions

- · Analysed oscillations and kinematics of coronal rain observed in SI IV line by IRIS
- Detected 150 oscillations in total along the whole loop length
- Two regimes of transverse oscillations:
 - Small scale oscillations reported previously, typical periods 3 min, amplitudes below 0.6 Mm, most prominent near loop apex, no damping - continuously operating driver

- Large scale oscillations periods around 24 min, amplitudes around 1 Mm, most prominent near footpoints, transient driving mechanism
- Tracked 115 plasma condensations along their paths to analyse dynamics
- Broad distribution of velocities with mean 45 $km.s^{-1}$
- Motion significantly sub-ballistic with mean acceleration 95 $m.s^{-2}$
- Possible reason for the observed deceleration: ponderomotive force?
- Future work modelling