Partial ionization effects on resonant absorption and Kelvin-Helmholtz instability

Roberto Soler



Also contributed: J. L. Ballester, D. Martínez-Gómez, R. Oliver, J. Terradas

ISSI Team Meeting on Coronal Rain Bern, 23–27 February 2015

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで



1 Introduction

- 2 Partial ionization effects on resonant absorption
- 3 Partial ionization effects on Kelvin-Helmholtz instability
- 4 Conclusions

Transverse oscillations in the solar corona

- First observed with TRACE in 1999
 Nakariakov et al. (1999); Aschwanden et al. (1999)
- After an energetic disturbance (flare), the whole loop displays a damped transverse oscillation $\sim \cos(2\pi t/P + \phi) \exp(-t/\tau)$



Image credit: E. Verwichte

Nakariakov et al. (1999)

- Physical interpretation: Global kink MHD mode see, e.g., Edwin & Roberts (1983)
- Rapid attenuation consistent with damping by resonant absorption see, e.g., Ruderman & Roberts (2002); Goossens et al. (2002)

Transverse oscillations in the solar corona

- First observed with TRACE in 1999 Nakariakov et al. (1999); Aschwanden et al. (1999)
- After an energetic disturbance (flare), the whole loop displays a damped transverse oscillation $\sim \cos(2\pi t/P + \phi) \exp(-t/\tau)$



Nakariakov et al. (1999)

- Physical interpretation: Global kink MHD mode see, e.g., Edwin & Roberts (1983)
- Rapid attenuation consistent with damping by resonant absorption see, e.g., Ruderman & Roberts (2002); Goossens et al. (2002)

Simple model: straight magnetic cylinder



Fully ionized plasma

Normal modes for I = 0Edwin & Roberts (1983)

- Linear ideal MHD equations
- Perturbations: $f(r) \exp(im\phi + ik_z z i\omega t)$
- $f(r) \rightarrow$ Bessel functions (J_m inside, K_m outside)
- $k_z = \frac{\pi}{L} \rightarrow$ Fundamental mode



- Transverse (fast) modes
 - Sausage (m = 0)
 - Kink (m = 1)
 - Fluting $(m \ge 2)$
- Longitudinal (slow) modes
- Torsional/Rotational (Alfvén) modes

The (boring) kink mode when l = 0

- Global transverse (kink) motion of the flux tube
- No damping, no change of polarisation



Thin tube $(L/R \gg 1)$ approximation:

$$P = rac{L}{v_{\mathrm{A,i}}} \sqrt{rac{2\left(
ho_{\mathrm{i}} +
ho_{\mathrm{e}}
ight)}{
ho_{\mathrm{i}}}}$$

The kink mode when $l \neq 0$: Resonant absorption

• When $l \neq 0$ the kink mode is resonantly coupled to Alfvén waves



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

The kink mode when $l \neq 0$: Resonant absorption

• When $l \neq 0$ the kink mode is resonantly coupled to Alfvén waves



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

From transverse motions to rotational motions Soler & Terradas (2015)

- Damping of the global transverse (kink) motion
- Motions become rotational (Alfvénic) in the nonuniform layer



Thin tube $(L/R \gg 1),$ thin boundary $(I/R \ll 1)$ approximations:

$$au_{
m RA} = F rac{R}{I} rac{
ho_{
m i} +
ho_{
m e}}{
ho_{
m i} -
ho_{
m e}} P$$

(Ruderman & Roberts 2002, Goossens et al. 2002)

э

Flux of energy to the nonuniform boundary Soler & Terradas (2015)

- Wave energy becomes localized at the boundary of the flux tube
- Potential locations for plasma heating!



Effect on KHI

Phase mixing Soler & Terradas (2015)

- Small length scales are needed for resistive/viscous heating.
- Oscillations are phase-mixed with time in the nonuniform boundary
- Smaller and smaller spatial scales are generated as time increases



Phase-mixing length scale (Mann et al. 1995):

$$L_{\rm ph}(r=R) = \frac{2\pi}{\left|\partial \omega_{\rm A}/\partial r\right|_{r=R} t} = G \frac{L}{v_{\rm A,i}} \frac{\rho_{\rm i} + \rho_{\rm e}}{\rho_{\rm i} - \rho_{\rm e}} \frac{I}{t}$$

nac

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Resistive heating time scale

• The efficiency of resistive dissipation depends on R_m

$$R_m = \frac{L_0 v_0}{\eta}$$

$$lacksquare$$
 Lo $ightarrow$ Length scale, $L_0 \sim L_{
m ph}(t) \sim t^{-1}$

- $\blacksquare~v_0 \rightarrow$ Characteristic velocity, $v_0 \sim v_{\rm A,i} \approx 10^6~m/s$
- $\blacksquare~\eta \rightarrow$ Resistivity (very small in the corona), $\eta \approx 10^9\, T^{-3/2} \approx 1~\text{m}^2/\text{s}$
- Efficient dissipation/heating when $R_m \sim 1$

$$au_{
m RES} \sim rac{l v_{
m A,i}}{\eta} P$$

No heating during the damping of the global kink motion! $\tau_{\rm RES} \gg \tau_{\rm RA} > P$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ = のへで

What about partial ionization?

- The plasma in the chromosphere, prominences, coronal rain...is only partially ionized!
- The Saha equation for a hydrogen plasma:



What about partial ionization?

New physics

 Collisions between ions and neutrals are an important physical process in partially ionized plasmas

Remarks

- Ion-neutral collisions are a dissipative mechanism whose efficiency is frequency-dependent (the larger the frequency, the stronger the dissipation)
- The role of ion-neutral collisions is independent of the spatial scale (unlike resistivity)
- Ion-neutral collisions do not need small scales to heat the plasma

Possible effects

- Ion-neutral collisions introduce a new dissipation time scale
- Ion-neutral collisions may affect the resonant absorption rate

Partial ionization effects on resonant absorption

- Partially ionized Hydrogen + Helium plasma
- Strongly coupled ions and neutrals
- Single-fluid approximation (e.g., Braginskii 1965)
- Ideal MHD equations + generalized induction equation with ambipolar term

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) + \nabla \times \{ [\eta_{\mathbf{A}} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}] \times \mathbf{B} \}$$

Ambipolar Diffusion \rightarrow ion-neutral collisions

Only Hydrogen (Braginskii 1965):

$$\eta_{\rm A} = \frac{\xi_{\rm n}^2}{\mu \alpha_{\rm in}}, \qquad \qquad \alpha_{\rm in} = n_{\rm i} n_{\rm n} \frac{m_{\rm i} m_{\rm n}}{m_{\rm i} + m_{\rm n}} \sqrt{\frac{m_{\rm i} + m_{\rm n}}{m_{\rm i} m_{\rm n}}} \frac{8k_{\rm B}T}{\pi} \sigma_{\rm in}$$

Partial ionization effects on resonant absorption

- Partially ionized Hydrogen + Helium plasma
- Strongly coupled ions and neutrals
- Single-fluid approximation (e.g., Braginskii 1965)
- Ideal MHD equations + generalized induction equation with ambipolar term

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) + \nabla \times \{ [\eta_{\mathbf{A}} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}] \times \mathbf{B} \}$$

Ambipolar Diffusion \rightarrow ion-neutral collisions

Hydrogen + Helium (Zaqarashvili et al. 2013):

$$\eta_{\mathrm{A}} = \frac{\xi_{\mathrm{H}}^2 \alpha_{\mathrm{He\,I}} + \xi_{\mathrm{He\,I}}^2 \alpha_{\mathrm{H}} + 2\xi_{\mathrm{H}}\xi_{\mathrm{He\,I}} \alpha_{\mathrm{H\,He\,I}}}{\mu \left(\alpha_{\mathrm{H}} \alpha_{\mathrm{He\,I}} - \alpha_{\mathrm{H\,He\,I}}^2\right)}$$

Effect on KHI

Partially ionized flux tube



Time scales

- \blacksquare Linear eigenvalue problem \rightarrow Global kink mode \rightarrow Damping
- Thin Tube Approximation, $L/R \gg 1$
- Thin Boundary Approximation, $I/R \ll 1$



Critical Period
$$\rightarrow P_{\text{crit}} = \pi^2 F \frac{R}{l} \frac{\rho_i + \rho_e}{\rho_i - \rho_e} \mu \rho_i \eta_{\text{A},i} \approx 1 \text{ s } !!!$$

Numerical eigenvalue solution Soler et al. (2009)

- Global kink mode eigenvalue problem numerically solved with PDE2D (Sewell 2005)
- Agreement with the approximate analytic results



Time scales (again)

• Ordering of time scales in the partially ionized case:

 $\tau_{\rm RES} \gg \tau_{\rm AD} > \tau_{\rm RA} > P$

- $t < \tau_{\rm RA} \rightarrow$ Damped global kink motion (but no heating!)
- $au_{\mathrm{RA}} < t < au_{\mathrm{AD}}
 ightarrow$ Phase mixing evolves (but still no heating!)
- $t > \tau_{\mathrm{AD}} \rightarrow \mathsf{Dissipation}$ (heating) by ion-neutral collisions
- Transverse wave heating in partially ionized plasmas happens earlier than in fully ionized plasmas $(\tau_{\rm RES} \gg \tau_{\rm AD})$
- Still, no heating during the observable kink oscillation ($\tau_{\rm AD} > \tau_{\rm RA})$
- Ambipolar heating \rightarrow increase of $T \rightarrow$ ionization degree increases \rightarrow efficiency of ambipolar diffusion decreases \rightarrow less heating!
- Approximate time scales should be checked using self-consistent nonlinear simulations (including ionization/recombination)

Effect on KHI

Conclusions

Nonlinear Kelvin-Hemlholtz instability

■ Strong shear at the boundary → Kelvin-Hemlholtz instability (Terradas et al. 2008, Antolin et al. 2014)

Simple interface model Soler et al. (2010)

- Fully ionized plasma, linear analysis
- $k_y \gg k_z (L/R \gg \pi)$
- \blacksquare Most unstable case ($\mathbf{U}_{0}\perp\mathbf{B}_{0},\ \alpha=0):$

$$\frac{U_0}{v_{\rm A,i}} > \pi \frac{R}{L} \sqrt{\frac{2\left(\rho_{\rm i} + \rho_{\rm e}\right)}{\rho_{\rm e}}}$$

• Stabilization by "magnetic twist" $(\mathbf{U}_0 \angle \mathbf{B}_0)$:

$$\sin\alpha > \frac{U_0}{v_{\mathrm{A},i}}\sqrt{\frac{\rho_\mathrm{e}}{\rho_\mathrm{i}+\rho_\mathrm{e}}}$$

• Most stable case ($\mathbf{U}_0 \parallel \mathbf{B}_0$, $\alpha = \pi/2$):

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

Simple interface model Soler et al. (2010)

- Fully ionized plasma, linear analysis
- $k_y \gg k_z (L/R \gg \pi)$
- \blacksquare Most unstable case ($\mathbf{U}_{0}\perp\mathbf{B}_{0},\ \alpha=0):$

$$\frac{U_0}{v_{\rm A,i}} > \pi \frac{R}{L} \sqrt{\frac{2\left(\rho_{\rm i} + \rho_{\rm e}\right)}{\rho_{\rm e}}}$$

• Stabilization by "magnetic twist" $(\mathbf{U}_0 \angle \mathbf{B}_0)$:

$$\label{eq:alpha_eq} \sin\alpha > \frac{U_0}{v_{\mathrm{A,i}}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_\mathrm{e}}{\rho_\mathrm{i}+\rho_\mathrm{e}}}$$

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

3

• Most stable case $(\mathbf{U}_0 \parallel \mathbf{B}_0, \ \alpha = \pi/2)$:

Simple interface model Soler et al. (2010)

- Fully ionized plasma, linear analysis
- $k_y \gg k_z (L/R \gg \pi)$
- \blacksquare Most unstable case ($\mathbf{U}_{0}\perp\mathbf{B}_{0},\ \alpha=0):$

$$\frac{U_0}{v_{\rm A,i}} > \pi \frac{R}{L} \sqrt{\frac{2\left(\rho_{\rm i} + \rho_{\rm e}\right)}{\rho_{\rm e}}}$$

• Stabilization by "magnetic twist" $(\mathbf{U}_0 \angle \mathbf{B}_0)$:

$$\sin\alpha > \frac{U_0}{\nu_{\mathrm{A,i}}}\sqrt{\frac{\rho_\mathrm{e}}{\rho_\mathrm{i}+\rho_\mathrm{e}}}$$

• Most stable case (U₀ \parallel B₀, $\alpha = \pi/2$):

$$\frac{\textit{U}_{0}}{\textit{v}_{\mathrm{A,i}}} > \sqrt{\frac{2\left(\rho_{\mathrm{i}} + \rho_{\mathrm{e}}\right)}{\rho_{\mathrm{e}}}}$$

・ロト・雪ト・雪ト・雪・ 今日・

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Including partial ionization

- Linearized two-fluid equations: ions-electrons + neutrals
- We retain the distinct behavior of ions and neutrals

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\rm ion} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \nabla \right) \mathbf{v}_{\rm i} &= -\nabla \rho_{\rm ie} + \frac{1}{\mu} \left(\nabla \times \mathbf{b} \right) \times \mathbf{B}_0 - \rho_{\rm n} \mathbf{v}_{\rm ni} \left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm i} - \mathbf{v}_{\rm n} \right) \\ \rho_{\rm n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \nabla \right) \mathbf{v}_{\rm n} &= -\nabla \rho_{\rm n} - \rho_{\rm n} \mathbf{v}_{\rm ni} \left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm n} - \mathbf{v}_{\rm i} \right) \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{b}}{\partial t} &= \nabla \times \left(\mathbf{U}_0 \times \mathbf{b} \right) + \nabla \times \left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm i} \times \mathbf{B}_0 \right) \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\rm i} &= \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\rm n} = \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

- $\label{eq:ni} \mathbf{\nu}_{ni} = \text{Neutral-ion collision frequency}$
- Perfectly coupled fluids, $\nu_{\rm ni} \rightarrow \infty$ (ideal MHD)
- \blacksquare Partial ionization effects are present when $\nu_{\rm ni}$ in finite

Approximate KHI growth rates Soler et al. (2012) + Martínez-Gómez et al. (2015)

- We consider the interface with $\mathbf{U}_0 \perp \mathbf{B}_0$: $\mathbf{U}_0 = U_0 \hat{e}_y$, $\mathbf{B}_0 = B_0 \hat{e}_z$
- Perturbations, $\exp(ik_yy + ik_zz i\omega t)$, $k_z = \frac{\pi}{L}$, $k_y = \frac{m}{R} = \frac{1}{R}$

Uncoupled case ($\nu_{\rm ni}=0$)

- lons-electrons KH unstable when $\frac{U_0}{v_{A,i}} > \pi \frac{R}{L} \sqrt{\frac{2(\rho_{ion,i} + \rho_{ion,e})}{\rho_{ion,e}}}$
- Neutrals are always KH unstable (no velocity threshold)

$$\gamma_{
m KHI} pprox rac{\sqrt{
ho_{
m n,i}
ho_{
m n,e}}}{
ho_{
m n,i} +
ho_{
m n,e}} rac{U_0}{R}$$

Realistic strongly coupled case $({ m v}_{ m ni}\gg\omega)$

- \blacksquare Neutrals remain KH unstable for slow flows when ν_{ni} is finite
- Ion-neutral collisions cannot stabilize the neutral fluid

$$\gamma_{\rm KHI} \approx \frac{2\rho_{\rm n,i}\rho_{\rm n,e}}{\left(\rho_{\rm n,i}+\rho_{\rm n,e}\right)^2} \frac{U_0^2/R^2}{\nu_{\rm ni}}$$

Approximate KHI growth rates Soler et al. (2012) + Martínez-Gómez et al. (2015)

- We consider the interface with $\mathbf{U}_0 \perp \mathbf{B}_0$: $\mathbf{U}_0 = U_0 \hat{e}_y$, $\mathbf{B}_0 = B_0 \hat{e}_z$
- Perturbations, $\exp(ik_yy + ik_zz i\omega t)$, $k_z = \frac{\pi}{L}$, $k_y = \frac{m}{R} = \frac{1}{R}$

Uncoupled case ($\nu_{\rm ni}=0$)

- lons-electrons KH unstable when $\frac{U_0}{v_{A,i}} > \pi \frac{R}{L} \sqrt{\frac{2(\rho_{ion,i} + \rho_{ion,e})}{\rho_{ion,e}}}$
- Neutrals are always KH unstable (no velocity threshold)

$$\gamma_{
m KHI} pprox rac{\sqrt{
ho_{
m n,i}
ho_{
m n,e}}}{
ho_{
m n,i} +
ho_{
m n,e}} rac{U_0}{R}$$

Realistic strongly coupled case $(\nu_{\rm ni}\gg\omega)$

- \blacksquare Neutrals remain KH unstable for slow flows when ν_{ni} is finite
- Ion-neutral collisions cannot stabilize the neutral fluid

$$\gamma_{\rm KHI} \approx \frac{2\rho_{\rm n,i}\rho_{\rm n,e}}{\left(\rho_{\rm n,i}+\rho_{\rm n,e}\right)^2} \frac{U_0^2/R^2}{\nu_{\rm ni}}$$

▲ロ → ▲圖 → ▲ 画 → ▲ 画 → の Q ()

Effect on KHI

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

Full solution Soler et al. (2012) + Martínez-Gómez et al. (2015)

Numerical solution of the dispersion relation

Again, we need nonlinear simulations to study the full development

Full solution Soler et al. (2012) + Martínez-Gómez et al. (2015)

Numerical solution of the dispersion relation

Again, we need nonlinear simulations to study the full development

<ロ>

Conclusions

Effects on resonant absorption and associated heating

- \blacksquare Partial ionization introduces a new time scale, $\tau_{AD},$ for the dissipation of wave energy by ambipolar diffusion
- Heating by ambipolar diffusion in partially ionized plasmas happens earlier than heating by resistivity in fully ionized plasmas, $\tau_{\rm AD} \ll \tau_{\rm RES}$
- \blacksquare No heating during the observable global kink motion, $\tau_{\rm RA} < \tau_{\rm AD}$

Effects on the KHI onset

- Partially ionized tubes are more unstable than fully ionized tubes
- No velocity threshold and no effect of magnetic twist on neutrals
- Neutrals can trigger the KHI even in twisted flux tubes

Partial ionization effects are not negligible