

## Direct effects of particle precipitation and ion chemistry in the middle atmosphere

P. T. Verronen Finnish Meteorological Institute, Earth Observation Helsinki, Finland



## **Contents of presentation**

- 1. Middle atmospheric effects of energetic particle precipitation (EPP)
- 2. Sodankylä Ion and Neutral Chemistry Model (SIC)
  - Analysis of the ion chemistry scheme
  - Parameterization of EPP-related changes in  $HO_x$  and  $NO_y$
- 3. SIC model versus MLS/Aura observations:
  - SPEs of January 2005 and December 2006
  - Production of  $\mathsf{HNO}_3$  and  $\mathsf{OH}$
- 4. Summary



#### **Energetic particle precipitation**



Earth's magnetic field directs charged particles into polar regions EPP affects both ionosphere and middle atmosphere



#### Different types of particle precipitation



From a presentation by Randall et al., 2008



#### SPE: example of geomagnetic cutoff

Proton Cutoff Energies at 100km altitude: Kp=4



Rodger et al., Journal of Geophysical Research (2006)



#### Effects of energetic particle precipitation (EPP)



Ozone connects to temperature and dynamics



#### Sodankylä Ion and Neutral Chemistry (SIC)









36 positive ions, 29 negative ions, 400 reactions



### Changes in hydrogen and nitrogen species

Particles precipitate into middle atmosphere  $\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$ 



- Positive ion chemistry dissociates  $N_2$  and  $H_2O$
- Negative ion chemistry redistributes  $NO_y$  (inside the blue box)
- From Verronen and Lehmann, Ann. Geophys., 2013.



## SIC: example of $HO_x$ production paths

$$N_{2} + p^{+}(E) \rightarrow N_{2}^{+} + e^{-} + p^{+}(E - \Delta E)$$

$$N_{2}^{+} + O_{2} \rightarrow O_{2}^{+} + N_{2}$$

$$O_{2}^{+} + O_{2} + M \rightarrow O_{4}^{+} + M$$

$$O_{4}^{+} + H_{2}O \rightarrow O_{2}^{+}(H_{2}O) + O_{2}$$
...
$$O_{2}^{+}(H_{2}O)_{2} + H_{2}O \rightarrow H_{3}O^{+}(OH)H_{2}O + O_{2}$$

$$H_{3}O^{+}(OH)H_{2}O + H_{2}O \rightarrow H^{+}(H_{2}O)_{3} + OH$$

$$H^{+}(H_{2}O)_{3} + H_{2}O + M \rightarrow H^{+}(H_{2}O)_{4} + M$$

$$H^{+}(H_{2}O)_{4} + e^{-} \rightarrow H + 4H_{2}O$$

$$---- ---$$

$$Net : H_2O \rightarrow OH + H$$



## SIC: example of $HNO_3$ production paths

$$N_{2} + p^{+}(E) \rightarrow N_{2}^{+} + e^{-} + p^{+}(E - \Delta E)$$

$$O_{2} + O_{2} + e^{-} \rightarrow O_{2}^{-} + O_{2}$$

$$O_{2}^{-} + O_{3} \rightarrow O_{3}^{-} + O_{2}$$

$$O_{3}^{-} + CO_{2} \rightarrow CO_{3}^{-} + O_{2}$$

$$CO_{3}^{-} + NO_{2} \rightarrow NO_{3}^{-} + CO_{2}$$

$$NO_{3}^{-} + H_{2}O + M \rightarrow NO_{3}^{-}(H_{2}O) + M$$

$$NO_{3}^{-}(H_{2}O) + HNO_{3} \rightarrow NO_{3}^{-}(HNO_{3}) + H_{2}O$$

$$NO_{3}^{-}(HNO_{3}) + H^{+}(H_{2}O)_{4} \rightarrow HNO_{3} + HNO_{3} + 4H_{2}O$$

$$----$$

$$Net : H_{2}O + O_{3} + NO_{2} \rightarrow OH + HNO_{3} + O_{2}$$

# P/Q: relative production/loss rates from SIC

P/Q = (ionic production - ionic loss) / ionization rate



- $H_2O$  becomes the limiting factor at upper altitudes
- At night: more negative ions, more  $HNO_3$  production

# P/Q: relative production/loss rates from SIC

P/Q = (ionic production - ionic loss) / ionization rate



- Note: Zero net change of  $NO_y$  (incl.  $HNO_3$ ) by negative ion chemistry
- Net production of  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{NO}}_{\ensuremath{\mathsf{x}}}$  is by positive ion chemistry



#### Outstanding issue: nitric acid in CCMs



- From Jackman et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2008



#### MLS/Aura observations



- Microwave Limb Sounder, measures emissions at mm and sub-mm wavelengths
- Launched in July 2004 into a near-polar orbit, observations cover latitudes between  $82^{\circ}S 82^{\circ}N$ , day and night
- Can be used to monitor temperature and more than 15 trace gases, including  $O_3$ , OH, and HNO<sub>3</sub>
- First satellite instrument providing continuous observations of mesospheric OH and HO<sub>2</sub>



#### Nitric acid: comparisons

#### Modeling: Sodankylä Ion and Neutral Chemistry

- Uses MLS temperatures, neutral density, and water vapor.
- 80°N/December–January, no diurnal variations.
- Results reduced to MLS altitude resolution using averaging kernels.

#### Observations: data version 3.30, SZA $> 100^{\circ}$ (night-time)

- Data are daily means, uncertainty is standard error of the mean.
- Useful range up to 1.5 hPa ( $\approx$ 50 km) in normal conditions, but can be extended into mesosphere when high amounts are observed.
- Mesospheric HNO<sub>3</sub> data have not been validated.
- Comparison is made with the highest amount of HNO<sub>3</sub> observed after the peak of SPE forcing, assuming that it is least affected by dynamics.



# SIC vs. MLS: nitric acid, December 2006 SPE

Before (left), during (middle), and after (right) the SPE forcing



- The model overestimates the  $\mathsf{HNO}_3$  increase on Dec 9 at 60–65 km.
- Below 50 km the agreement is OK.
- For more details, see Verronen et al., J. Geophys. Res., 2011.



# MLS: HNO<sub>3</sub> (top) and CO (bottom) Daily avarages at approx. 60 km (2500 K)





## Odd hydrogen: comparisons

#### Modeling: Sodankylä Ion and Neutral Chemistry

- Uses MLS temperatures, neutral density, and water vapor.
- Latitudes  $>60^{\circ}$ N, solar proton events of January 2005 and December 2006.

#### OH observations: data version 3.30

- Useful range up to 0.0032 hPa ( $\approx$ 90 km).
- Mesospheric data have been validated by Pickett et al., JGR, 2008.
- Data are averaged at 65–75°N, for day and night separately.

MLS was the first instrument that provided continuous and global observations of mesospheric  $HO_x$ .



#### SIC vs. MLS: hydroxyl, January 2005





#### SIC vs. MLS: OH



- From Verronen et al., Geophys. Res. Lett., 2006



#### Ion chemistry and its effects in models

- Although there are uncertainties, the understanding of ion chemistry seems reasonably good for particle effect modelling.
- Our full knowledge is not used when parameterizing ion chemistry in 3-D atmospheric models, typically:
  - $HO_{\!\times}$  and  $NO_{\!\times}$  production is included,
  - $HNO_3$  and  $HNO_2$  production is not included,
  - Chlorine activation is not included

(Winkler et al., Geophys. Res. Lett., 2009).

- Two ways to include ion chemistry:
  - Parameterization. Simple and good in all situations?
  - Full ion chemistry. Computationally too expensive?