

### **Trinity College Dublin**

**Coláiste na Tríonóide, Baile Átha Cliath** The University of Dublin



IRISH RESEARCH COUNCIL An Chomhairle um Thaighde in Éirinn



## Soft X-Ray Pulsations in Solar Flares Laura Hayes

Peter T. Gallagher, Brian Dennis, Jack Ireland, Andy Inglis ISSI Meeting 27 Feb 2017

# Soft X-ray Emission

#### **Soft X-ray Emission from Solar Flares**

#### **Quasi Periodic Pulsations:**

- SXR short time scale variability
- Multiwavelength analysis
- Impulsive Phase Event (X1.0 Flare)
- Long Duration Event (M7.7 Flare)
- Statistical Study

#### Long Period Soft X-ray pulsations & Geoeffectivness Ionosphere (if time)





### **Soft X-ray Emission in Solar Flares** Where does it come from? What can it tell us?



# Soft X-ray Pulsations

Where does it come from? What can it tell us?



Dolla et al. 2012

### **Soft X-ray Pulsations** Where does it come from? What can it tell us?



# **Multi-wavelength Analysis**

Relating SXR pulsations to other mechanisms in flare



### **Multi-wavelength Analysis** Relating SXR pulsations to other mechanisms in flare



Impulsive Phase

-Wavelet analysis taking into consideration power-law distribution

-Characteristic timescales ~20s across all channels

-In non-thermal emission, find second characteristic timescale of ~55s

### **Multi-wavelength Analysis** Relating SXR pulsations to other mechanisms in flare





Thermal Pulsations occur for 20 mins after non-thermal emission cease

Increase in timescale from ~40 - 70s

Impulsive Phase:

- -10 different wavebands with ~ 20s timescale
- -Intermittent reconnetion?

Gradual Phase:

-Distinct pulsations in the high temperature plasma

- persist timescale increase ~40-70s
- -MHD in post flare loops? Sausage or V. Kink?





Event studied by many previous authors Lui et al. 2013, Krucker & Battaglia 2014

QPP reported in impulsive phase in HXR, MW and MHz Huang et al. 2016

### M7.7 Limb Flare



Trinity College Dublin, The University of Dublin







Wavelet analysis shows increase in timescale of pulsations throughout flare

- Timescale increases up to ~ 4minutes
- Similar results found via peak to peak analysis by eye.
- Related to source height of energy release or length of loops?

1:17 04:37 04:57 05:18 05:38 05:59 06:19 06:40 07:00 07:21 07:41 08:02 08:22 08:43 09:03 09:24 Start time (2012-07-19 04:17:00)



Trinity College Dublin, The University of Dublin



-RHESSI 6-12 keV centroid height from limb - ~ location of SXR emission

-Systematic increase - **increase in loop length?** 

-Correlated with increase in period

Similar period and source increase as Dennis et al. 2017

- What causes prolonged pulsations in soft X-ray? Signature of continued heating and reconnection at higher altitudes?
- Source height increase longer loop lengths, possibly kink modes triggered in loops of longer length?
- Liu, W et al. 2013 find plasmoid ejections and downward contractions of reconnected loops late into this event - possibly resulting in these observed pulsations



More to do....

# Large Scale Statistical Study

Inglis et al. 2016

### Automated Flare Inference of Oscillations (AFINO)

More later with Andy's talk!



# M & X Class Flare (Feb 2011 - Dec 2015 ~ 674 events)

Model Comparison to search for statistical enhancement in Fourier spectrum taking into consideration power law distribution.

30 % GOES 1-8 Å showed signatures of QPP characteristics

Preferred Period of  $\sim$ 5-30 seconds (MHD timescales?)

Inglis et al. 2016

### Large Scale Statistical Study Inglis et al. 2016

#### Automated Flare Inference of Oscillations (AFINO) results table

Last updated: 2017-01-30T06:00:08.806337

AFINO was designed to search for signatures consistent with quasi-periodic pulsations (QPP) in solar flares. It uses a model comparison technique to analyse the Fourier Power Spectral Density (PSD) of solar flares in GOES 1-8A X-ray data. Events showing a strong preference for a localized frequency enhancement in the PSD are flagged as flares of interest (see bold entries)

These results are freely available for reference by anyone interested. If you do make use of this list, please cite the following papers where the AFINO techniques and results were published: Inglis et al. ApJ, 798, 108, (2015), Inglis et al., ApJ, 833, 284, (2016)

#### Key

Model S0: A single power-law plus constant model of the PSD

Model S1: A single power-law plus constant, plus a localized enhancement consistent with a QPP signature

Model S2: A broken-power law plus a constant

BIC: The Bayesian Information Criterion. BIC = -2 Ln(L) + k Ln(n), where L is the likelihood function

ΔBIC S<sub>0</sub> vs S<sub>1</sub>: The difference in BIC between models S0 and S1. A positive value >10 indicates a strong preference for S1 over S0

ΔBIC S<sub>0</sub> vs S<sub>2</sub>: The difference in BIC between models S0 and S2. A positive value >10 indicates a strong preference for S2 over S0

ΔBIC S<sub>2</sub> vs S<sub>1</sub>: The difference in BIC between models S2 and S1. A positive value >10 indicates a strong preference for S1 over S2

**Detection**: If model S1 is preferred over all others by  $\Delta$ BIC > 10, the criteria are met for a QPP detection

χ<sup>2</sup>sn psn: Goodness of fit estimate, and associated p-value for each model n=0,1,2

P(s): Best-fit period, in seconds, of the localized enhancement, where appropriate

width: Best-fit width, in log-f space, of the localized enhancement, where appropriate

Flags: S = short data series (< 200 data points), B0 = bad fit to model S0 ( $p_{S0}$  < 0.01), B1 = bad fit to model S1 ( $p_{S1}$  < 0.01), B2 = bad fit to model S2 ( $p_{S2}$  < 0.01)

Date	GOES class	Start time	End time	Instrument	Wavelength	$\frac{\Delta BIC S_0}{vs S_1}$	$\frac{\Delta BIC S_0}{vs S_2}$	$\frac{\Delta BIC S_2}{vs S_1}$	Detection	χ <sup>2</sup> s0	Pso	χ <sup>2</sup> s1	P <sub>S1</sub>	$\chi^2$ s2	Ps2	<b>P (s)</b>	width	Flags	Summary Plot	Helioviewer
20161129	M1.2	232900	233958	GOES	1-8A	2.2	5.4	-3.2	No	1.07	0.35	0.96	0.55	0.95	0.56	8.1	0.25	[]	PDF	<u>show</u>
20161129	M1.0	171900	172558	GOES	1-8A	-4.5	-1.6	-2.9	No	0.69	0.87	0.64	0.91	0.64	0.91			[]	PDF	<u>show</u>
20160807	M1.3	143700	144758	GOES	1-8A	5.1	27.9	-22.8	No	1.14	0.25	0.96	0.54	0.85	0.74	298.9	0.12	[]	PDF	<u>show</u>
20160723	M5.5	052700	053259	GOES	1-8A	5.2	4.1	1.1	No	0.89	0.61	0.74	0.8	0.68	0.86	6.1	0.06	['S']	PDF	<u>show</u>
20160723	M7.6	050000	052358	GOES	1-8A	33.7	12.8	20.9	Yes	1.17	0.13	0.98	0.54	1.09	0.26	19.8	0.25	0	PDF	<u>show</u>

# Large Scale Statistical Study

eriod

1e-7

Inglis et al. 2016

Automated atures consi lar flares in ( 1 hv anvone i

AFINO was designed to search for signatures consi to analyse the Fourier Power Spectral Density (PSD) of solar flares in (

> These results are freely available for reference by anyone i and results were published

#### Key

Model S0: A single power-law plus constant model of the PSD

Model S1: A single power-law plus constant, plus a localized enhancement consistent with a QP Model S2: A broken-power law plus a constant

BIC: The Bayesian Information Criterion. BIC = -2 Ln(L) + k Ln(n), where L is the likelihood funct  $\Delta BIC S_0 vs S_1$ : The difference in BIC between models S0 and S1. A positive value >10 indicate:  $\Delta BIC S_0 vs S_2$ : The difference in BIC between models S0 and S2. A positive value >10 indicate:  $\Delta BIC S_2 vs S_1$ : The difference in BIC between models S0 and S2. A positive value >10 indicate:  $\Delta BIC S_2 vs S_1$ : The difference in BIC between models S2 and S1. A positive value >10 indicate:  $\Delta BIC S_2 vs S_1$ : The difference in BIC between models S2 and S1. A positive value >10 indicate:  $\Delta BIC S_2 vs S_1$ : The difference over all others by  $\Delta BIC > 10$ , the criteria are met for a QPP d  $\chi^2_{Sn} P_{Sn}$ : Goodness of fit estimate, and associated p-value for each model n=0,1,2

**P(s)**: Best-fit period, in seconds, of the localized enhancement, where appropriate

width: Best-fit width, in log-f space, of the localized enhancement, where appropriate Flags: S = short data series (< 200 data points), B0 = bad fit to model S0 ( $p_{S0}$  < 0.01), B1 = bad

									and the second se												_
Date	GOES class	Start time	End time	Instrument	Wavelength	ΔBIC S vs S <sub>1</sub>	256									4			/		r
20161129	M1.2	232900	233958	GOES	1-8A	2.2	03:22	03:30		03:3	39	03:47		03		3:56	0	04:04	04:13		
20161129	M1.0	171900	172558	GOES	1-8A	-4.5			Start time: 2012-03-09 03:22 01												
20160807	M1.3	143700	144758	GOES	1-8A	5.1	27.9	-22.8	No	1.14	0.25	0.96	0.54	0.85	0.74	298.9	0.12	[]	PDF	<u>show</u>	
20160723	M5.5	052700	053259	GOES	1-8A	5.2	4.1	1.1	No	0.89	0.61	0.74	0.8	0.68	0.86	6.1	0.06	['S']	<u>PDF</u>	<u>show</u>	
20100522	347.0	050000	050050	COTO	1		10.0	20.0	•7		A 13	0.00	0 - 4	1 00	0.00	10.0	0.05		DDD		
20120310	M8.4	171500	182959	SOES	1-8A	128.3	125.2	3.2	Yes	3.07	0.0	2.8	0.0	2.71	0.0	55.9	0.25	['B0', 'B1', 'B2']	<u>PDF</u>	<u>show</u>	
20120309	M6.3	032201	041758	GOES	1-8A	74.9	17.4	57.6	Yes	1.31	0.0	1.15	0.07	1.27	0.0	65.8	0.14	['B0', 'B2']	<u>PDF</u>	<u>show</u>	
20120307	X1.3	010501	012258	GOES	1-8A	15.8	-0.4	16.2	Yes	1.14	0.21	1.01	0.46	1.13	0.23	46.5	0.16	0	<u>PDF</u>	<u>show</u>	

GOES 1-8 Å 4 2 0 -2 16 64

# **Soft X-ray Pulsations**

Where we are at now....

#### What we know so far

- Soft X-ray pulsations are a common, if not intrinsic feature of solar flares
- Different characteristics in impulsive and decay phase, probably related to different mechanisms
- Can last late into decay phase of some solar flares

#### What needs to be done?

- Large scale multi-wavelength analysis of QPP with particular attention of soft X-ray thermal emissions throughout impulsive and decay phases of flares
- Relate characteristic time scales to some physical parameters:
  - Higher altitude of energy release site or related to length of loops?
  - Length scales of reconnection?
  - Distinguish between impulsive and gradual processes



© 2012 Encyclopædia Britannica, Inc.

- X-rays ( $\lambda < 1$ nm) penetrate to D region (~50-90 km)
- Induce significant photoionisation manifesting as increase in electron density
- Impacts sub-ionospheric communications & GNSS











Synchronised Pulsations in SXR and the lower ionosphere



Model D Region Electron Density:

Wait parameters *h*' and ? - reference height and 'sharpness'

 $N_e(h, H', \beta) = 1.43 \times 10^{13} e^{-0.15H'} e^{(\beta - 0.15)(z - H')}$ 

Simulate VLF propagation using LWPC to determine h' and ? throughout flare. Provides electron density height profile.

Electron density increases by two orders of magnitude.

Pulsations significant effect on-D region

Hayes et al. (in prep)



#### **Trinity College Dublin** Coláiste na Tríonóide, Baile Átha Cliath

The University of Dublin

